



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,861	12/31/2003	Stratton C. Lloyd	OIC0118US	6302
6/6/95 7590 04/29/2009 CAMPBELL STEPHENSON LLP 11401 CENTURY OAKS TERRACE BLDG. H, SUITE 250 AUSTIN, TX 78758				
EXAMINER				
SHIH, HAOSHIAN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2173				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/29/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/749,861

Applicant(s)

LLOYD ET AL.

Examiner

HAOSHIAN SHIH

Art Unit

2173

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 March 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 8-13, 16, 22, 23 and 25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 8-13, 16, 22-23, 25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-5, 8-13, 16, 22-25 are pending in this application and have been examined in response to application RCE filed on 03/19/2009.
2. Claim 24 is canceled.
3. The previously applied 112 rejection is hereby withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. **Claims 1-5, 8-13, 16, 22-23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hind et al. (Hind, US 7,346,843 B2), Datta (US 2004/0128618 A1) and Fliess et al. (Fliess, US 7,168,045 B2) .**
6. As to **INDEPENDENT** claim 1, Hind discloses a method in a computer system for generating a display page, the method comprising: receiving a request to generate a display page, wherein the display page comprises realtime information and non-realtime information (col.3, lines 64- col.4, lines 5; contents that are available immediately are

considered as realtime information, and contents that are delayed are considered as non-realtime information);

the realtime information comprises information displayable without further computation (col.3, lines 66-col.4, lines 1);

retrieving the realtime information to be included on the requested display page (col.3, lines 65-67; realtime information is displayed first);

if a previously cached version of the non-realtime information is available, generating the requested display page comprising the retrieved realtime information and the previously cached non-realtime information (col.7, lines 63-67; previously cached contents are fetched to reduce unnecessary page generation wait time) ; and

if a previously cached version of the non-realtime information is not available, generating the requested display page comprising the retrieved realtime information and an indication that the non-realtime information is not yet ready for display, wherein the indication that the non- realtime information is not yet ready for display is provided in a location in which the non-realtime information is to be displayed (fig.3a, "320", fig.3b "350"; col.5, lines 15-22, lines 35-41; a placeholder message is displayed to indicate that the non-realtime information is not ready),

requesting generation of the non-realtime information (col.5, lines 25-26; a request for the non-realtime information is sent at a pre-determined time interval),

and

generating the requested display page comprising the retrieved realtime information and the non-realtime information in response to a subsequent request for the requested display page (col.5, lines 25-30; the complete page is generated).

Hind does not specifically disclose caching the generated non-realtime information; the realtime information comprises information retrieved from a database, and the non-realtime information comprises information requiring computation to generate the non-realtime information prior to display;

In the same field of endeavor, Datta discloses caching the generated [content] information (fig.9, "904", "912", "906", "908"; if a previously cached content element is not available, generate the content element and store it in a component cache).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teaching of Hind and Datta before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the incremental content generation/display taught by Hind to include web content caching taught by Datta with the motivation being to further improve web content delivery speed (Datta, [0002]). Hind and Datta do not specify the realtime information comprises information retrieved from a database, and the non-realtime information comprises information requiring computation to generate the non-realtime information prior to display;

In the same field of endeavor, Fliess discloses the realtime information comprises information retrieved from a database (col.5, lines 18-22), and the non-realtime information comprises information requiring computation to generate the non-realtime information prior to display (fig.7; col.7, lines 42-48, lines 51-55; non-realtime information are generated via a reporting and analysis module)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teaching of Hind and Datta and the teaching of Fliess before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the low-latency incremental portal aggregation taught by Hind and Datta to include business object modeling taught by Fliess with the motivation being to provide at least some of the portal page content in a very quick response while waiting for more calculation intensive business object models (Hind, col.5, lines 30-35; Fliess, col.2, lines 24-30).

7. As to claim 2, Hind discloses a link for requesting the display page (col.7, lines 20-25).
8. As to claim 3, Hind discloses the request to generate the display page is received from a user (col.3, lines 64-65).
9. As to claim 4, Fliess discloses the request to generate the display page is received when a user logs on to one of the computer system and a client coupled to the

computer system (fig.7, "700"; col.8, lines 4-6).

10. As to claim 5, Fliess discloses the display page is a homepage (col.5, lines 54-57; the "web portal" provides an entry point for the user interface).

11. As to claim 8, Fliess discloses the generated information includes a graphical representation of information (col.1, lines 24-25; fig.1, "110"; business information are represented as different graphic objects).

12. As to **INDEPENDENT** claim 9, see rationale addressed in the rejection of claim 1 above.

13. As to claim 10, claim 10 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claim 2, and is rejected under the same rationale.

14. As to claim 11, claim 11 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claim 3, and is rejected under the same rationale.

15. As to claim 12, claim 12 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claim 4, and is rejected under the same rationale.

16. As to claim 13, claim 13 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claim 5, and is rejected under the same rationale.

17. As to claim 16, claim 16 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claim 8, and is rejected under the same rationale.

18. As to claim 22, see rationale addressed in the rejection of claim 1 above. Further, refreshing a display screen for the purpose of updating the status of a currently displayed web page is notoriously well known in the art.

19. As to claim 23, see rationale addressed in the rejection of claim 1 above.

20. As to claim 25, Fliess discloses drilling down to view underlying information used to generate the display page comprising the realtime information, the non-realtime information, wherein said drilling down (col.9, lines 5-7; "drill down") comprises

retrieving a selected information used to generate a portion of the display page (fig.7, "744"; col.8, lines 50-51; a user selects an alternative from a drop down box); and regenerating the display page comprising the realtime information, the non-realtime information and the selected information in response to a request related to such selected information (fig.7; col.8, lines 53-60; a new chart "740" is regenerated on the same page "700" to reflected the selected information).

Response to Arguments

21. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 9 and 23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HAOSHIAN SHIH whose telephone number is (571)270-1257. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 0730-1700.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kieu Vu can be reached on (571) 272-4057. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HSS

/Kieu D Vu/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2175